Radiometric and Polarimetric Accuracy Assessment and Calibration of the Hyper-Angular Rainbow Polarimeter (HARP) Instrument

Brent A. McBride^{(1,2)*}, J. Vanderlei Martins^(1,2), Roberto Fernandez-Borda⁽²⁾, Henrique M. J. Barbosa⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾Department of Physics, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Maryland, USA ⁽²⁾Joint Center for Earth Systems Technology, Maryland, USA ⁽³⁾Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil

Background and Motivation

The Hyper-Angular Rainbow Polarimeter (HARP) is a mission designed to accurately measure microphysical properties of clouds and aerosols from space. A candidate for the NASA Decadal Survey Aerosol-Clouds-Ecosystems (ACE) mission, HARP is a wide field-of-view (FOV), multi-angle imaging polarimeter that splits three spatially-identical images into three independent polarizers and detectors. This technique is the key innovation to achieve high polarimetric accuracy with no moving parts.

The HARP program advances Earth remote sensing in several ways:

- Continues ongoing studies on aerosol-cloud interactions and their climate-related uncertainties [1]
- Sensitivity to non-spherical particles and the variance of a particle or droplet size distribution.
- Angular and spatial resolution improvements over prior and current imaging polarimeters (0.05°, 2.5km spatial)
- Pixel-level retrievals across the entire 114° FOV, avoiding large-scale homogeneity assumptions [2].
- Hyper-angle imaging: 60 unique viewing angles at 0.67um, and 20 at 0.44,0.55, and 0.87um.
- Simultaneous imaging avoids false polarizations [3].
- Highly optimized, refractive optics enables wide FOV measurements in a compact housing and at a fraction of the cost of high-profile satellite missions.
- Global coverage (HARP CubeSat, HARP2) and simple adaptation and integration to a variety of aircraft (AirHARP; B200, ER-2)







The modified Phillips prism helps separate three unique linear polarization states to three detectors.

Imaging Earth at Multiple Viewing Angles



- In this way, we can image the angular signature of light scattering from cloud droplets, aerosols, land and ocean surfaces. This information helps to: • Infer surface and ocean properties (BRDF, pBRDF)
- Retrieve cloud and aerosol microphysics (effective radius, variance, refractive index, cloud thermodynamic phase, AOD, and size distributions)
- Validate assumption-limited retrievals from radiometric satellites (MODIS, VIIRS, ABI, etc.)
- Extend retrievals from vertically-resolved instruments (CALIPSO, CATS, etc.) or other polarimeters (RSP, SPEX, AirMSPI, etc.)

*Correspondence to B. McBride at mcbride1@umbc.edu

Radiometric and Polarimetric Calibration

For ACE consideration, highly accurate, multi-angular imaging polarimeter instruments are required, especially to retrieve total and polarized information from low light aerosol scenes. This necessitates a rigorous calibration scheme that involves:



Stripe filters on each detector allow 120 separate viewing angles in 4 HARP passbands.

In this example, from the NASA Lake Michigan Ozone Study (05-06/2017), AirHARP observed sunglint over Lake Michigan.

An unprocessed AirHARP image, taken through the detector stripe filter, is shown (far left).

Pushbroom composites (four right images) show the same scene on the ground for different viewing angles, all at 0.67um.

A rotating polarizer at the aperture of an integrating sphere with known depolarization used to calibrate HARP for polarization.





The HARP CubeSat instrument (top left) points into a broadband integrating sphere at UMBC (top right), outfitted with our polarization dome at the aperture (below left). Attached to a rotational stage, the dome generates unique and well-controlled angles of completely polarized light.



This polarization dome was designed to:

- the HARP front lens
- Retain generated polarization at all points in the FOV

Detector counts (I_i) at a given angle of the polarizer, wavelength, and pixel are related to incident Stokes parameters (I,Q,U) [4]:

> $\llbracket I @ Q @ U \ \downarrow \lambda, px = \llbracket C \downarrow 11 \& C \downarrow 12 \& C \downarrow 13 @ C \downarrow 21 \&$ *C↓*22 &*C↓*23 @*C↓*31 &*C↓*32 &*C↓*33] [**■***I↓*1 @*I↓*2 @ $I\downarrow3]\downarrow\lambda,px$

Malus Law and Uncertainties



The Malus' Law [5] intensity profile results, when the HARP instrument observes an integrating sphere through a rotating polarizer. Phase shifts between detectors are relative to the angle of the polarizer in front of each detector.



- Defining a relationship between detector counts and scene polarization
- Characterizing polarization across the entire HARP FOV

Stokes parameters are normalized and defined external to the instrument. The C-

matrix that results takes into account all optical interactions inside the system.

• Verifying the accuracy of the HARP system with models and experiment

Characterizing Polarized and Total Radiances

Maintain ray traces through



The conversion factor, kbetween HARP retrieved intensity and integrated spectral radiance of "Grande" is the slope of each line in the comparison figure (right).

For each wavelength, this kvalue is then multiplied into the C-matrix so that it converts observed detector counts (a.u.) to scene radiance (W m⁻² sr⁻¹ nm⁻¹);

This C-matrix is applied to HARP observations of "Grande", a 1-meter diameter integrating sphere at NASA GSFC Calibration Facility, for radiometry (left).



Retrieved intensity (a.u.)		
λ	k *10 ⁻⁵	R ²
442nm	2.8 ± 0.12%	0.99
551nm	1.8 ± 0.10%	0.99
670nm	1.4 ± 0.26%	0.99
873nm	1.4 ± 0.38%	0.99

 $[\blacksquare I@Q@U]\downarrow\lambda, px = k\downarrow\lambda [\blacksquare C\downarrow11 \&C\downarrow12 \&C\downarrow13 @C\downarrow21 \&$ C↓22 &C↓23 @C↓31 &C↓32 &C↓33] [**■**I↓1 @I↓2 @ *I*↓3]↓λ,px

Two polarizer system: $I \propto cos 12 \theta$

	-i
Sensor	σ _{RMS} (%)
A (1)	0.450
B (2)	0.587
C (3)	0.714
A (1) B (2) C (3)	0.450 0.587 0.714

The system C-matrix and associated error is calculated using a least squares approach, involving measurements of the polarization dome at least three unique rotation angles.

The absolute errors in each Stokes parameter can be derive via:

where the position of the values in brackets correspond to the position of the Stokes parameter in the sigma subscript. The above error terms correspond to the HARP measurement, C-matrix element, and radiometric conversion factor.



Preliminary modeled DOLP_{RMS} accuracy level of the AirHARP instrument for various binning regimes and wavelengths. Experimental SNR from GSFC radiometric calibration drives a simulated HARP calibration model for the nadir portion of the sensor. This assessment is still in early stages of development.

The HARP2 instrument onboard the PACE payload will see advancements that greatly improve polarimetric accuracy (table, right).

Paper Number: A21B-2158

Preliminary Accuracy Assessment



Instrument HARP CubeSat HARP2 (PACE)

Improvements over AirHARP Prism/detector optimization Prism/detector optimization Faster frame speed (>2 f/s) Enhanced flatfield characterization



Preliminary Cloud Retrieval



Correcting for viewing and solar geometry following [2], polarized reflectance (R_p) analyzed for an 8x8 pixel region along the principal plane is shown below:



Methods comparing Mie P_{12} (above) to R_p are used to glean the *effective radius* (r_e), *variance* (v_e) , and *thermodynamic phase* of a cloud scene.

Future Work

• Application of polarization dome analysis for polarization calibration across the entire FOV +(CJI Continuition and development from LMOS and ACEPOL campaigns • Intercompare L1 ACEPOL products with RSP, SPEX, AirMSPI for test cases (glint, dark ocean, etc.) • Assess the viability of GRASP algorithm [6] for multi-pixel aerosol retrieval

References

- [1] IPCC, Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report, Geneva, Switzerland (2014), 151 pp.
- [2] F. M. Breon and M. Boucher, IEEE, **43** (2005), pp. 1796-1805. [3] A. Kohkanovsky and G. de Leeuw, Sat. Aero. Rem. Sens. Over Land.
- Praxis Publishing (2009), p. 298
- [4] R. F. Borda et al., SPIE, 7461 (2009), pp. 1-13.
- [5] E. Hecht, Optics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, (1979).
- [6] O. Dubovik et al., AMT, **4** (2011) , pp. 975-1018

This work is funded in part by the NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship (NESSF) 16-EARTH16F-0243, with renewal extensions through 2019.